REMARKS

Non-Compliant Notice

The present Reply is the same as the Reply filed February 15, 2007, except that this Reply includes the signature. The following remarks are identical to those presented in the Reply of February 15, 2007.

Amendments

Claim is amended to correct an obvious typographical error. New claims 28 and 29 are directed to the elected species. See, e.g., page 71, lines 28-29. New claims 30-36 are directed to further aspects of applicants' invention. See, e.g., page 48, lines 19-24, page 49, lines 1-14, page 50, lines 19-25, page 53, lines 1-7, and page 54, lines 1-6.

Election

In response to the Restriction Requirement, applicants hereby elect Group I, claims 1-11, 28, and 29, drawn to compounds. AS for the remaining claims, i.e., claims method claims 12-27 of Group II, upon determination that the compound claims are allowable, applicants will request rejoinder pursuant to MPEP §821.04.

With respect to the election of species Requirement, applicants hereby elect 4-[4-Methoxy-3-tetrahydrofuranyloxyphenyl]-1-[N-(5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)aminocarbonyl-methyl]-2-pyrrolidone. See, e.g., page 71, lines 28-29. The claims which read on the elected species are claims 4, 9, and 28-36.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

Brion P. Heaney, Reg. No. 32,542

Attorney for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400 2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22201 Telephone: (703) 243-6333

Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: MEMORY-0040

Date: March 12, 2007